

REMARKS

By this amendment, claims 1, 5 and 13 have been amended, claims 14-20 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, and no claims have been added. Accordingly, claims 1-13 are currently pending in the application, of which claim 1 is an independent claim. Applicant appreciates the indication that claims 4-12 contain allowable subject matter.

Applicant respectfully submits that the above amendments do not add new matter to the application and are fully supported by the specification. Support for the amendments may be found at least in Figures 5-6 and 9-12, and at specification page 13, lines 11-14, 17-20, page 15, lines 3-6, and page 16, lines 4-6, 16-17.

Entry of the Amendments and Remarks is respectfully requested because entry of Amendment places the present application in condition for allowance, or in the alternative, better form for appeal. No new matters are believed to be added by these Amendments. In view of the above amendments and the following Remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and timely withdrawal of the pending objections and rejections for the reasons discussed below.

Title Objection

In the Office Action, the title of the invention was objected to as not being descriptive. The title has been amended to read:

**LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY HAVING TERMINALS ARRANGED FOR
SECURING CONNECTION TO DRIVING CIRCUIT**

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the title.

Claim Objection

In the Office Action, Claims 4-12 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-12 have not been amended to include the limitations of the base and intervening claims since they depend from amended claim 1, and Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is allowable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection for claims 4-12.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 2 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for at least the following reasons.

“A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.” *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ 2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

Amended claim 1 recites, *inter alia*:

wherein the first pads include a first contact region contacting the first terminals and a second contact region defined as a region of the first pads except the first contact region,

wherein the second contact region is substantially larger than the first contact region.

The AAPA neither shows nor suggests such features.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejection of amended claim 1. Since none of the other prior art of record discloses or suggests all

the features of the claimed invention, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1, as amended, and all claims that depend therefrom are allowable.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over the AAPA in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,295,711 issued to Tanaka, *et al.* (“Tanaka”). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection for at least the following reasons.

Claim 3 depends from amended claim 1, and Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is allowable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claim 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant appreciates the indication that claims 4-12 contain allowable subject matter. Claims 4-12 have not been amended to include the limitations of the base and intervening claims since Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is allowable.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 4-12 are in condition for allowance.


CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that a full and complete response has been made to the pending Office Action and respectfully submits that all of the stated objections and grounds for rejection have been overcome or rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance.

Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative at the number below to expedite prosecution.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Hae-Chan Park
Reg. No. 50,114

Date: January 10, 2005

McGuireWoods LLP
1750 Tysons Boulevard
Suite 1800
McLean, VA 22102-4215
Tel: 703-712-5365
Fax: 703-712-5280
HCP:WSC/kss